

GENERAL REMARKS

The examiner has acknowledged that dependent claims 27 and 55 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Thus, independent claim 1 and dependent claims 55 and 56 have been rewritten into new independent claim 57; independent claim 24 and dependent claim 27 have been amended into new independent claim 59.

Dependent claims 2, 3, and 4 have been rewritten into new claim 58 which is dependent on new claim 57. Dependent claim 58 incorporates all of the subject matter of claim 57 and adds additional subject matter, which makes it a fortiori and independently patentable over the prior art.

Claims 1-56 are hereby withdrawn. Applicants retain the right to present claims 1-56 in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

CONCLUSION

For all the above reasons, applicants submit that the specification and claims are in proper form, and that all claims define patentably over the prior art. Therefore, they submit that this application is in condition for allowance, which action they respectfully solicit.

Applicants have amended the specification and claims of this application so that they define novel structure which is also unobvious over the recited prior art. If this application is, for any reason, considered not to be in full condition for allowance, applicants respectfully request the constructive assistance and suggestion of the Examiner pursuant to MPEP §706.03(d) and §706.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for future proceedings.

Saša Buyač

Applicants Pro Se

November 9, 2005

⁵
Best Available Copy